

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,152	12/27/2000	Peter Watts	WC 110 CON	5106
23579	7590 01/04/2002			
PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP SUITE 2000, ONE ATLANTIC CENTER			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, SUSAN T	
1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3400		I.E.	ART UNIT PAPE	
,			1615	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/749,152

Applications)

Watts

Examiner

Susan Tran

Art Unit 1615



		1				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear.	s on the cover sheet with the corres				
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH	H(S) FROM			
af	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun	ication.	*			
be	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day e considered timely.					
) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ommunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this			
- Any i	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, t reply received by the Office later than three months after th Irned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 16,	2001				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		_			
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents had 		No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti		(e).			
Attachm	ent(s)					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)			
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Response to Notice Missing Part filed 04/18/01, Information Disclosure Statement filed 12/27/00, Preliminary Amendment A filed 12/27/00, Amendment B filed 10/16/01, and Change of Address filed 10/16/01.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,228,396 B1.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent and the instant application are claiming common subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,152 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 3, 4, and 7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type

double patenting to the extent that they read on the rejected base claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

Kelm teaches a pharmaceutical dosage form for colonic delivery comprising

therapeutically active agents incorporated into hard or soft capsule that is coated with polymer

coating material (column 8, lines 62 through column 10, lines 1-67). The active agents are those

that release in the colon for systemic absorption (column 6, lines 18 through column 7, lines 1-

15). The preferred coating material is discloses in column 11, lines 1-56, wherein the coating is

dissolved at pH from about 5 to about 5.5, and the thickness of the coating layer is about 120 to

350 µm (id).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/749,152

Art Unit: 1615

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelm.

Kelm is relied upon for the reasons stated above. In the case that the applicant can overcome the above 102(e) rejection, the examiner relies on the following 103(a) rejection. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to, by routine experimentation modify Kelm's composition. The reasons for this modification is to obtain a desirable pharmaceutical oral dosage form that is suitable for colonic delivery to treat colonic diseases.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/16/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the original 103(a) rejection. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelm et al. US 5,686,105 has been withdrawn.

Applicant argues that the starch capsule disclosed in Kelm is only entitled to the filing date of May 17, 1995, and therefore, Kelm cannot be cited as a reference to hold claims 1-13 as obvious under 35 U.S.C.§103. Contrary to the applicant's argument, Kelm is CIP of SN 138,859 filed 10/19/93, now USPN 5,514,663 (Mandel et al.). Mandel on column 8, lines 15-23 teaches hard gelatin capsule. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to, by routine

Application/Control Number: 09/749,152

,

Art Unit: 1615

experimentation modify hard gelatin capsule using starch capsule. The reasons for this

modification is to obtain an oral capsule that is suitable for colonic delivery.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 an to 4:30 pm.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/749,152

Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THIRMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER